

https://twinkle.repo.nii.ac.jp

Differences in the genetic backgrounds of patients with alcoholic liver disease and non-alcoholic fatty liver disease

メタデータ	言語: jpn
	出版者:
	公開日: 2021-06-17
	キーワード (Ja):
	キーワード (En):
	作成者: 山本, 国子
	メールアドレス:
	所属:
URL	https://doi.org/10.20780/00032791

ORIGINAL ARTICLE

Differences in the genetic backgrounds of patients with alcoholic liver disease and non-alcoholic fatty liver disease

Kuniko Yamamoto, Tomomi Kogiso, 🗈 Makiko Taniai, 🖻 Etsuko Hashimoto and Katsutoshi Tokushige

Institute of Gastroenterology, Department of Internal Medicine, Tokyo Women's Medical University, Tokyo, Japan

Key words

alcoholic liver disease, gene profile, non-alcoholic fatty liver disease, single nucleotide polymorphism analysis, steatohepatitis.

Accepted for publication 25 August 2018.

Correspondence

Tomomi Kogiso, Institute of Gastroenterology, Department of Internal Medicine, Tokyo Women's Medical University, 8-1, Kawada-cho, Shinjuku-ku, Tokyo 162-8666, Japan. Email: kogiso.tomomi@twmu.ac.jp

Declaration of conflict of interest: None.

Funding support: Ministry of Education, Culture, Sports, Science, and Technology of Japan#26461024-0001

Abstract

Background and Aim: Alcoholic liver disease (ALD) and non-alcoholic fatty liver disease (NAFLD) have common hepatic histological features, but few studies have compared the genomic backgrounds of these two diseases. Here, we compared the genetic differences between ALD and NAFLD.

Methods: This study enrolled 318 Japanese patients with ALD (n = 118; male, 86%; median age, 62 years; liver cirrhosis, 58%; hepatocellular carcinoma [HCC], 31%) and NAFLD (n = 200; male, 55%; age, 61 years; cirrhosis, 19%; HCC, 12%). The genotype frequencies of 10 single nucleotide polymorphisms (SNPs) were analyzed.

Results: The *ADH1B* genotype GG and *ALDH2* genotype GG were observed more frequently, and the percentage of patients with the *MTP* genotype GG was lower in ALD compared with NAFLD patients (*ADH1B*, 16 vs 4%; *ALDH2* 84 vs 44%; *MTP* 62 vs 72%, respectively; all P < 0.01). Comparing noncirrhosis to cirrhosis, the frequency of the potassium voltage-gated channel subfamily Q member 1 (*KCNQ1*) genotype TT and adrenoceptor beta 3 (*ADRB3*) genotype TT was increased significantly in ALD-related cirrhosis. In contrast, the patatin-like phospholipase 3 (*PNPLA3*) genotype CC was decreased significantly in NAFLD-related cirrhosis. A comparison of patients with and without HCC demonstrated that the *KCNQ1* genotype TT was increased significantly in both HCC groups. In addition, associations between the *KCNJ15* genotype GG and ALD-HCC and the G allele of *PNPLA3* and NAFLD-HCC were identified.

Conclusions: SNPs in genes related to ethanol and lipid metabolism clearly differed between patients with ALD and NAFLD. KCNQ1 might affect the progression and hepatocarcinogenesis in both ALD and NAFLD.

Introduction

Hepatic steatosis and steatohepatitis are becoming an epidemic health problem and are becoming common worldwide. One Japanese cohort study indicated that 29.7% of people had non-alcoholic fatty liver disease (NAFLD)^{.1} The number of overweight individuals is estimated to increase to ~2.0 billion worldwide by $2030.^2$ Therefore, the prevalence of NAFLD may increase with the increasing levels of obesity. The incidence of hepatocellular carcinoma (HCC) caused by nonviral chronic liver diseases, including NAFLD and alcoholic liver disease (ALD), is also increasing in Japan. Although both ALD and NAFLD patients present with similar pathological findings, the clinical manifestation differs.

The primary enzymes involved in alcohol metabolism are alcohol dehydrogenase (ADH) and aldehyde dehydrogenase (ALDH).^{3,4} The activity of these enzymes determines the body's ability to respond to alcohol intake and is associated with liver dysfunction and malignancies, including HCC and gastrointestinal cancer.^{3,4} ADH1B activity differs among ethnicities; 5–10%

of Whites and 25% of Blacks have elevated ADH1B activity, compared with >85–90% of the Japanese population.^{4–6} Therefore, it is important to analyze the genetic background of Japanese ALD patients. NAFLD is associated with metabolic syndrome and is considered a hepatic manifestation of metabolic syndrome.^{7,8} Thus, genetic factors that play a role in metabolic disease-related processes, such as glucose metabolism, may contribute to disease onset and progression.^{9,10}

In genome-wide association studies, the rs738409 polymorphism (encoding I148M) in the *patatin-like phospholipase 3* (*PNPLA3*) gene has been consistently recognized as a major genetic factor in the development of NAFLD.¹¹ *PNPLA3* is expressed in the liver and adipose tissue and has acyl hydrolase activity.¹² The *PNPLA3* G allele has been linked to an increased prevalence of NAFLD and is associated with the development and progression of both ALD and NAFLD.^{13–16} However, no reports have compared single nucleotide polymorphisms (SNPs) linked to disease occurrence and progression between patients with ALD and NAFLD. Here, we conducted a validation study

17

This is an open access article under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial License, which permits use, distribution and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited and is not used for commercial purposes.

JGH Open: An open access journal of gastroenterology and hepatology 3 (2019) 17-24

^{© 2018} The Authors. JGH Open: An open access journal of gastroenterology and hepatology published by Journal of Gastroenterology and Hepatology Foundation and John Wiley & Sons Australia, Ltd.

of SNPs proposed to be involved in disease occurrence, progression, and hepatocarcinogenesis and compared their distribution in patients with ALD and NAFLD.

Methods

Patients and study design. This study was a cross-sectional, single-center study. Overall, 318 patients (118 ALD and 200 NAFLD) were enrolled. The diagnosis of NAFLD was based on the following criteria: (1) macrovesicular steatosis affecting at least 5% of the hepatocytes or causing steatosis on imaging; (2) an intake of <20 g of ethanol/day in women and <30 g/day in men; and (3) the appropriate exclusion of other liver diseases, including viral hepatitis, drug-induced liver injury, Wilson's disease, and α 1-antitrypsin deficiency according to the American Association for the Study of Liver Disease guidelines.¹⁷ ALD was diagnosed according to the diagnostic criterion of the Japanese Society for Biomedical Research on Alcohol (JASBRA),¹⁸ which defines ALD as a habitual alcohol intake of 60 g/day for more than 5 years. Other liver diseases were excluded using biochemical tests and radiological imaging.

Detailed clinical and demographic information, including age, gender, body mass index (BMI), and complications due to lifestyle-related diseases, were collected. Laboratory data were evaluated at the time of liver biopsy or hospitalization for treatment. The homeostatic model assessment for insulin resistance (HOMA-IR) was calculated from glucose and insulin levels to quantify insulin resistance and β -cell function.

Liver biopsy was performed in 42 ALD and 185 NAFLD patients. Pathological findings—that >5% of hepatocytes were steatotic—indicated a diagnosis of NAFLD,¹⁷ which was graded S0-3 according to the classification by Brunt et al.¹⁹ Intralobular and portal inflammation consists of a mixed inflammatory cell infiltrate (lymphocytes, neutrophils, eosinophils, and Kupffer cells) and was graded A0-3. For fibrosis, staging was based on the location and extent of fibrosis and was graded F1-4 as follows: stage 1, zone 3 perisinusoidal fibrosis; stage 2, portal fibrosis; stage 3, bridging fibrosis in addition to stage 2; and stage 4, cirrhosis.¹⁸ The visceral and subcutaneous fat areas were calculated from computed tomography (CT) images.

The diagnosis of liver cirrhosis was defined as stage 4 fibrosis from liver biopsy or typical clinical findings on liver imaging, esophageal and gastric varices formation, and splenomegaly. HCC was diagnosed by histological examination or the detection of imaging findings consistent with the diagnosis using at least two of the following methods: abdominal ultrasound, CT scans, magnetic resonance imaging (MRI), and selective hepatic arteriography.^{20,21}

This study was conducted according to the principles of the Declaration of Helsinki and the ethical rules of the Tokyo Women's Medical University Hospital (TWMU, Tokyo, Japan). The TWMU Institutional Review Board approved the study protocol.

Gene polymorphism analysis. Ten SNPs associated with key metabolic processes were selected as follows: alcohol metabolism, rs1229984 (*ADH1B*) and rs671 (ALDH 2 family; *ALDH2*); lipid metabolism, rs1800591 (microsomal triglyceride transfer protein; *MTP*) and rs1801282 (peroxisome proliferator activated receptor gamma 2; *PPARG2*); glucose metabolism, rs2237892 (potassium voltage-gated channel subfamily Q member 1;

KCNQ1), rs3746876, and rs743296 (potassium voltage-gated channel subfamily J member 15; *KCNJ15*); obesity, rs4994 (adrenoceptor beta 3; *ADRB3*) and rs4986790 (toll-like receptor 4; *TLR4*); and steatosis, rs738409 (*PNPLA3*). The MassARRAY[®] System (Agena Bioscience, San Diego, CA, USA), combined with iPLEX[®] chemistry, combines mass spectrometry and polymerase chain reaction (PCR). The primers were designed against specific sites in each gene and were extended to determine each allele (Table S1, Supporting Information). The allele frequencies among Japanese healthy controls were determined from the dsSNP database (https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/projects/SNP/ snp_ref.cgi?rs).

Statistical analysis. Data are presented as medians and ranges. Differences between ALD and NAFLD, noncirrhosis and cirrhosis, and with or without HCC were assessed using Mann–Whitney *U* tests or χ^2 tests with SPSS software (SPSS Inc., Chicago, IL, USA); *P*-values <0.05 were considered significant. When comparing ALD and NAFLD patients, genotypes were divided into three groups, and each SNP was compared. In cases of cirrhosis or HCC, genotypes were divided into two groups and compared because of the small sample size.

Calculation of propensity score: We performed a logistic regression analysis with the ALD or NAFLD as the objective variable using gender, age, and presence or absence of cirrhosis as the explanatory variables and calculated PS by fitting the results of this analysis after matching age, gender and the fibrosis status. Propensity score was conducted so that it was balanced across ALD and NAFLD, and we checked that covariates are balanced across ALD and NAFLD within the strata of the propensity score after examining and checking its distribution. We confirmed that there was no significant difference of PS depending on the ALD or NAFLD with respect to gender, age, and presence or absence of cirrhosis. Then, the distribution of ADH 1B, ALDH 2, and MTP were compared between the group with ALD and the group with NAFLD. SPSS Statistics Ver. 23 (IBM Corporation, Armonk, NY, USA) was used for statistical analysis, including the calculation of PS.

Results

Comparison of gene polymorphisms between ALD and NAFLD patients. Table 1 lists the patient demographics. Male gender was dominant in the ALD group. BMI and the incidence of complications due to lifestyle-related diseases, including diabetes, dyslipidemia, and hypertension, were extremely low in the ALD group. A clinical diagnosis of cirrhosis was significantly higher in the ALD group (ALD, 57.6%; NAFLD, 18.5%). HCC was diagnosed in 30.5% of the ALD group, which was significantly higher than the NAFLD group (11.5%). Overall, liver biopsies were performed in 35.6 and 92.5% of patients in the ALD and NAFLD groups, respectively.

Ten gene polymorphisms associated with obesity; steatosis; and alcohol, glucose, and lipid metabolism were compared between the ALD and NAFLD groups (Table 2). Higher percentages of the *ADH1B* genotype GG and *ALDH2* genotype GG were observed in the ALD group compared with the NAFLD group (*ADH1B* genotype GG, 16 vs 4%, G allele frequency, 35 vs 21%; *ALDH2* genotype GG, 84 vs 44%, G allele frequency, 93 vs 67%, respectively; all P < 0.01). Conversely, the

JGH Open: An open access journal of gastroenterology and hepatology **3** (2019) 17–24

^{© 2018} The Authors. JGH Open: An open access journal of gastroenterology and hepatology published by Journal of Gastroenterology and Hepatology Foundation and John Wiley & Sons Australia, Ltd.

Table 1 Baseline patient characteristics.

				<i>P</i> -value
Characteristic	Total ($n = 318$)	ALD $(n = 118)$	NAFLD ($n = 200$)	(ALD <i>vs</i> NAFLD)
Age (years)	61 (17–94)	62 (29–80)	61 (17–94)	0.84
Male gender (%)	211 (66.4)	101 (85.6)	110 (55.0)	<0.01
Body mass index (kg/m²)	26.3 (12.8–46.0)	23.8 (15.9–33.8)	27.9 (12.8-46.0)	<0.01
Visceral fat area (mm²)	16 457 (183–48 868)	16 144 (4488–48 596)	16 628 (183–48 868)	0.97
Subcutaneous fat area (mm²)	23 484 (192–257 408)	17 184 (3336–43 917)	24 586 (192–257 408)	<0.01
Liver cirrhosis (%)	105 (33.0)	68 (57.6)	37 (18.5)	<0.01
Diabetes (%)	130 (40.9)	31 (26.3)	99 (49.5)	<0.01
Dyslipidemia (%)	139 (43.7)	22 (18.6)	117 (58.5)	<0.01
Hypertension (%)	172 (54.1)	37 (31.4)	135 (67.5)	<0.01
Hepatocellular carcinoma (%)	59 (18.6)	36 (30.5)	23 (11.5)	<0.01
Liver biopsy (%)	227 (71.4)	42 (35.6)	185 (92.5)	<0.01
Fibrosis (F0–2, F3–4) (%)	124 (54.9)/102 (45.1)	17 (40.5)/25 (59.5)	107 (57.8)/77 (41.6)	0.26
Activity (A1–2, A3) (%)	132 (58.4)/94 (41.6)	35 (85.4)/6 (14.6)	97 (52.4)/88 (47.6)	<0.01
Steatosis (S1–2, S3) (%)	107 (47.6)/116 (52.4)	31 (81.5)/7 (18.4)	76 (41.1)/109 (58.9)	<0.01
Laboratory data				
Albumin (g/dL)	4.2 (1.6-5.5)	3.6 (1.6–5.1)	4.4 (2.3–5.5)	<0.01
Total bilirubin (mg/dL)	0.9 (0.3-43.5)	1.2 (0.3–43.5)	0.7 (0.3–6.6)	<0.01
Aspartate aminotransferase (U/L)	48 (13–1024)	46 (13–1024)	52 (14–231)	0.07
Alanine transaminase (U/L)	50 (7-2609)	35 (7–2609)	70 (10–392)	0.80
γ-glutamyltransferase (U/L)	76 (15–1982)	143 (16–1982)	65 (15–568)	<0.01
Fasting blood glucose (mg/dL)	103 (59–301)	110 (63–301)	100 (59–258)	0.11
Hb _{A1c} (%)	5.7 (3.7–11.4)	5.6 (3.7–11.4)	5.9 (3.9–11.3)	0.09
IRI (μU/mL)	11.7 (0.4–204.9)	9.7 (0.4–123.6)	12.1 (2.4–204.9)	0.19
HOMA-IR	3.09 (0.06-52.80)	2.61 (0.06-52.80)	3.36 (0.75-42.40)	0.47
Triglyceride (mg/dL)	121 (21–696)	98 (21–696)	137 (28–678)	<0.01
Total cholesterol (mg/mL)	183 (51–331)	153 (51–331)	192 (57–328)	<0.01
lron (μg/dL)	99 (11–372)	98 (11–372)	100 (12–235)	0.51
Ferritin (ng/mL)	254 (6–5331)	263 (6–5331)	250 (10–1718)	0.01
Platelet counts (×10 ⁴ /µL)	18.2 (1.6–43.2)	12.9 (1.6–34.6)	20.0 (3.4–43.2)	<0.01
Prothrombin time (%)	88.3 (9.6–110)	73.8 (21.9–100)	91.0 (9.6–110)	<0.01
Alpha–fetoprotein (AFP, ng/mL)	4 (1-119 130)	5 (1–3513)	4 (1-119 130)	0.15
des-γ-carboxy prothrombin (DCP, mAU/mL)	22 (8–36 283)	28 (10–36 283)	21 (8–26 812)	0.58

ALD, alcoholic liver disease; HbA1c, hemoglobin A1c; HOMA-IR, homeostatic model assessment for insulin resistance; IRI, immunoreactive insulin; NAFLD, non-alcoholic fatty liver disease.

percentage of patients with the *MTP* genotype GG was lower in the ALD compared with the NAFLD group (genotype GG 62 vs 72%, G allele frequency, 77 vs 86%, both P < 0.01). There were no significant differences in the prevalence of other SNPs between the two groups. All patients exhibited the *TLR4* AA genotype, so this gene was excluded from the study.

Comparison of SNPs between matched populations of ALD and NAFLD patients. Gender, age, and the rate of complications due to lifestyle-related diseases differed between the two groups, as shown in Table 1. To exclude the influence of these factors, we compared ALD and NAFLD patients using a propensity-matched analysis (Table 3). Propensity-matched analysis after matching gender, age, and cirrhosis showed significant differences in these SNPs (*ADH1B* P < 0.01, *ALDH2* P < 0.01, and *MTP* P = 0.02).

Gene polymorphisms associated with cirrhosis and HCC. Potential genetic contributions to fibrosis progression were evaluated by comparing noncirrhosis and cirrhosis patients (Fig. 1). We compared all 10 SNPs. Only SNPs showing significant differences were selected. There were 68 cirrhosis patients (57.6%, 55 males) with ALD and 37 (18.5%, 19 males) with NAFLD. Although the median age of cirrhosis and noncirrhosis patients did not differ in ALD, the cirrhosis patients with NAFLD were significantly older (cirrhosis vs noncirrhosis, 69 vs 59 years old, respectively). The frequencies of the KCNQ1 genotype TT and ADRB3 genotype TT were increased significantly in ALD-related cirrhosis compared with noncirrhosis patients (cirrhosis vs noncirrhosis; KCNQ1 genotype TT, 28 vs 10%, P = 0.019, T-allele frequency, 51 vs 35%, P = 0.015; ADRB3 genotype TT, 75 vs 58%, P = 0.048, T-allele frequency, 87 vs 76%, P = 0.023) (Fig. 1a,b). In contrast, the frequency of the KCNQ1 genotype TT was increased (cirrhosis vs noncirrhosis; genotype TT, 24 vs 11%, P = 0.070, T-allele frequency, 53 vs 39%, P = 0.027), and the PNPLA3 genotype CC was significantly decreased in NAFLD-related cirrhosis compared with noncirrhosis patients (cirrhosis vs non-cirrhosis; genotype CC, 0 vs 16%, C-allele frequency, 22 vs 39%, both P < 0.01) (Fig. 1a,c).

© 2018 The Authors. JGH Open: An open access journal of gastroenterology and hepatology published by Journal of Gastroenterology and Hepatology Foundation and John Wiley & Sons Australia, Ltd.

Table 2 Genotype frequency associated with obesity and alcohol, glucose, and lipid metabolism between ALD and NAFLD patients.

	Control	ALD (n = 118)	NAFLD (<i>n</i> = 200)	<i>P</i> -value [†] (ALD <i>vs</i> NAFLD)
ADH1B (rs1229984)				
Allele frequency	A = 73%, G = 27%*	A = 65%, G = 35%	A = 79%, G = 21%	
Genotype AA, n (%)		54 (46)	123 (62)	<0.01
AG, n (%)		42 (36)	66 (33)	
$GG_n(\%)$		19 (16)	8 (4)	
$\Delta I DH2$ (rs671)		10 (10)	0 (1)	
	$G = 76\% \ \Lambda = 24\%$	$G = 93\% \ \Lambda = 7\%$	$G = 67\%$ $\Lambda = 33\%$	
Construct (%)	G = 70%, A = 24%	G = 55 %, A = 7 %	G = 07 %, A = 33 %	<0.01
		16 (14)	00 (44)	<0.01
AG, // (%)		18 (14)	00 (44)	
AA, // (%)		0 (0)	21 (11)	
MTP (rs1800591)	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			
Allele frequency	G = 85%, I = 15%*	G = 77%, 1 = 23%	G = 86%, I = 14%	
Genotype GG, n (%)		73 (62)	143 (72)	<0.01
GT, n (%)		32 (27)	52 (26)	
TT, n(%)		10 (9)	2 (1)	
PPARG2 (rs1801282)				
Allele frequency	C = 3%, G = 97%*	C = 4%, G = 96%	C = 3%, G = 97%	
Genotype CC, n (%)		O (O)	O (O)	0.22
GC, n (%)		10 (9)	10 (5)	
GG. n (%)		105 (89)	186 (93)	
KCNO1 (rs2237892)				
Allele frequency	C = 62% T = 38%*	C = 56% T = 44%	C = 59% T = 41%	
Genetype $C(n)$	0 = 02 %, 1 = 00 %	37 (31)	63 (32)	0.46
CT = p(%)		55 (47)	104 (52)	0.40
$C_{1, 11(78)}$		33 (47)	104 (52) 20 (1E)	
11, // (%)		23 (20)	29 (15)	
KCINJ 15 (rs3746876)	C 00% T 4%*	C 07% T 0%		
Allele frequency	C = 96%, T = 4%*	C = 97%, 1 = 3%	C = 95%, T = 5%	
Genotype CC, n (%)		107 (91)	179 (90)	0.34
CT, n(%)		8 (7)	17 (9)	
TT, n (%)		0 (0)	2 (1)	
KCNJ15 (rs743296)				
Allele frequency	G = 71%, C = 29%*	G = 68%, C = 32%	G = 70%, C = 30%	
Genotype GG, <i>n</i> (%)		53 (45)	97 (49)	0.86
GC, n (%)		50 (42)	83 (42)	
CC, n (%)		12 (10)	18 (9)	
TLR4 (rs4986790)				
Allele frequency	A = 100%, G = 0%*	A = 100%, G = 0%	A = 100%, G = 0%	
Genotype AA, n (%)		100 (100)	100 (100)	-
AG. n (%)		0 (0)	0 (0)	
GG n(%)		O(0)	O(0)	
$\Delta DBB3 (rs4994)$		0 (0)	0 (0)	
Allele frequency	T = 80% C = 20%*	T = 82% $C = 18%$	T = 82% $C = 18%$	
Construct TT = n (%)	$1 = 80.70^{\circ}, C = 20.70^{\circ}$	72 (66)	124 (67)	0.61
		78 (00)	134 (07) F0 (25)	0.01
		33 (28)	50 (25)	
		4 (3)	11 (6)	
PNPLA3 (rs/38409)	0 1001 0		0 050/ 0	
Allele frequency	C = 42%, G = 58%*	C = 39%, G = 61%	C = 35%, G = 65%	
Genotype CC, n (%)		19 (16)	26 (13)	0.62
GC, n (%)		52 (44)	84 (42)	
GG, n (%)		43 (36)	82 (41)	

ALD, alcoholic liver disease; NAFLD, non-alcoholic fatty liver disease.

*Allele frequencies were cited from dbSNP database.

[†]Genotypes were divided into three groups and compared using χ^2 tests.

There were 36 HCC patients (30.5%, 33 males) with ALD and 23 (11.5%, 15 males) with NAFLD. The HCC patients were significantly older in both the ALD and NAFLD groups

(HCC- vs HCC+: ALD: 57 vs 65 years old and NAFLD: 59 vs 73 years old). When patients with and without HCC were compared, the frequency of *KCNQ1* genotype TT was increased

Table 3 Comparison of ALD and NAFLD after propensity matching.

Name of gene	ALD (n = 118)	NAFLD ($n = 200$)	<i>P</i> -value	
ADH1B	AA 41%, AG 43%, GG 16%	AA 65%, AG 33%, GG 9%	<0.01	
ALDH2	GG 89%, AG 11%, AA 0%	GG 43%, AG 43%, AA 15%	<0.01	
MTP	GG 61%, GT 28%, TT 11%	GG 79%, GT 20%, TT 1%	0.02	

Analysis was performed after propensity matching for gender, age, and cirrhosis. ALD, alcoholic liver disease; NAFLD, non-alcoholic fatty liver disease.

significantly in both ALD- and NAFLD-related HCC (ALD HCC- *vs* HCC+, genotype TT, 15 *vs* 32%, P = 0.032, T allele frequency, 42 *vs* 49%, P = 0.36; NAFLD, genotype TT, 12 *vs* 32%, P < 0.01, T-allele frequency, 40 *vs* 54%, P = 0.06; Fig. 2a). The *KCNJ15* (rs743296) genotype GG was associated with ALD-related HCC (HCC- *vs* HCC+; genotype GG, 38 *vs* 65%, P < 0.01, G-allele frequency, 65 *vs* 75%, P = 0.13, Fig. 2b). The frequency of the *PNPLA3* genotype CC was significantly decreased in NAFLD-related HCC (HCC- *vs* HCC+; genotype CC, 15 *vs* 0%, P = 0.045, T-allele frequency, 37 *vs* 26%, P = 0.15, Fig. 2c).

Discussion

This study found differences in the prevalence of gene polymorphisms among Japanese ALD and NAFLD patients. SNPs in genes related to alcohol metabolism were associated with ALD, and those linked to lipid metabolism were important in NAFLD. A *KCNQ1* SNP might contribute to disease progression and hepatocarcinogenesis in both diseases.

The prevalence of SNPs in *ADH1B*, *ALDH2*, and *MTP* differed significantly between ALD and NAFLD patients (P < 0.01). ALD patients exhibit various degrees of liver injury caused by direct and indirect effects of alcohol, especially toxic metabolites and acetaldehyde.²² Several SNPs involved in alcohol metabolism²³ and gene variants associated with the accumulation of acetaldehyde may lead to ALD and alcoholism. In the current study, reduced ethanol-metabolizing activity of *ADH1B* genotype GG and increased activity of the *ALDH2* genotype GG were observed in ALD patients. Lower ADH enzyme activity results in a slower conversion of alcohol to acetaldehyde. A wild-type *ADLH2* gene encodes an essential active ALDH enzyme that rapidly converts alcohol to acetaldehyde and can consequently drink much alcohol, which leads to liver damage.

MTP regulates hepatic triglycerides and very low-density lipoproteins (VLDL) by exporting lipids from the liver.²⁴ It is expressed in enterocytes and hepatocytes, affects lipid absorption, and is related to NAFLD and diabetes.²⁵ A meta-analysis showed that an *MTP* polymorphism (genotype GG) was associated with NAFLD development by reducing the export of lipids to serum and triggering the accumulation of lipids inside hepatocytes.²⁶ Moreover, this polymorphism was associated with an atherogenic lipid profile in non-alcoholic steatohepatitis (NASH).²⁷ In NAFLD, SNPs related to lipid metabolism might play a more important role, whereas SNPs related to ethanol metabolism might be more relevant in ALD. The propensitymatched analysis confirmed significant differences in the *ADH1B*, *ALDH2*, and *MTP* SNPs after matching gender, age, and cirrhosis. When disease progression was compared, the prevalence of the *KCNQ1* SNP differed significantly in ALD-related cirrhosis patients. In Japan, it was reported that the frequency of the *KCNQ1* C allele is 62% and the T allele is 38%. The *KCNQ1* SNP contributes to diabetes and the therapeutic effects of dipeptidyl peptidase-4 (DPP-4) inhibitors.²⁸ KCNQ1 is also associated with the early onset of alcohol dependence^{29–31} and elevated plasma lipid levels.³² In addition, the *KCNQ1* genotype TT was significantly linked to decreased serum triglyceride levels.³² Therefore, we speculated that KCNQ1 accumulates inside hepatocytes and contributes to disease progression. In this study, because there were few patients, propensity score matching could not be performed. A future validation study may be necessary after accumulating more cases.

In NAFLD, the accumulation of lipids, and hence disease progression, is increased in patients with the *PNPLA3* genotype GG variant due to reduced ubiquitylation and impaired proteasomal degradation of PNPLA3 protein.³³ Several studies have demonstrated that the *PNPLA3* SNP influenced both the occurrence and progression of NAFLD.³⁴ The current study suggested that the *PNPLA3* SNP was also associated with cirrhosis in NAFLD. In ALD, an association between the *PNPLA3* SNP and disease progression has been reported³⁵; however, the *PNPLA3* SNP was not related to disease progression in ALD in the current study. Therefore, this phenomenon should be studied in a larger cohort of patients. Nevertheless, we speculate that the *PNPLA3* SNP plays a more important role in the progression of NAFLD than ALD in Japanese populations.

ADRB3 is expressed primarily in adipose tissue and is involved in the regulation of energy metabolism.³⁶ An ADRB genotype variant has been linked to the early development of type 2 diabetes mellitus, a lower resting metabolic rate, abdominal obesity, and insulin resistance.³⁶ In Japan, the frequency of the *ADRB3* C allele is ~20%. The percentage of individuals with the T allele was lower in the ALD-related cirrhosis population, but the role that ADRB3 plays in the progression of ALD is unclear.

This study is the first to report a significant association between the *KCNQ1* SNP and HCC in both ALD and NAFLD patients. Zhou et al.³⁷ reported that fasting insulin levels and insulin resistance were higher in diabetes patients with the *KCNQ1* T allele. KCNQ1 regulates the insulin receptor substrate-2 (IRS-2)/phosphoinositide 3-kinase/Akt pathway. Although the precise mechanism is unknown, the *KCNQ1* SNP might affect hepatocarcinogenesis through insulin and these pathways. In addition, the *KCNJ15* genotype GG was associated with ALD-related HCC. KCNJ15 is expressed in pancreatic β -cells and affects β -cell function.³⁸ This is the first report demonstrating an association between the *KCNJ15* SNP and HCC.

JGH Open: An open access journal of gastroenterology and hepatology 3 (2019) 17–24

© 2018 The Authors. JGH Open: An open access journal of gastroenterology and hepatology published by Journal of Gastroenterology and Hepatology Foundation and John Wiley & Sons Australia, Ltd.



Figure 1 The contribution of gene polymorphisms to fibrosis progression. The genetic frequencies of (a) *KCNQ1* (genotype TT *vs* TC or CC), (b) *ADRB3* (genotype TT *vs* TC or CC), and (c) *PNPLA3* (genotype CC *vs* GC or GG) were compared between nonliver cirrhosis and cirrhosis. ALD, alcohol liver disease; NAFLD, non-alcoholic fatty liver disease; KCNQ1, potassium voltage-gated channel subfamily Q member 1; ADRB3, adrenoceptor beta 3; PNPLA3, patatin-like phospholipase 3; N.S., not significant. The KCNQ1 genotype TT was significantly increased in ALD-related cirrhosis (*P* = 0.019) and increased in NAFLD-related cirrhosis (*P* = 0.048) (b). In contrast, the frequency of the *PNPLA3* genotype CC was decreased in NAFLD-related cirrhosis (*P* < 0.01) (c). TT, TC, CC, GG, GC.



Figure 2 Gene polymorphisms in patients with and without hepatocellular carcinoma (HCC). The gene frequencies of (a) *KCNQ1* (genotype TT *vs* TC or CC), (b) *KCNJ15* (rs743296) (genotype CC *vs* GC or GG), and (c) *PNPLA3* (genotype CC *vs* GC or GG) were compared between patients with or without HCC. ALD, alcohol liver disease; NAFLD, non-alcoholic fatty liver disease; KCNQ1, potassium voltage-gated channel subfamily Q member 1; KCNJ15, potassium voltage-gated channel subfamily J member 15; PNPLA3, patatin-like phospholipase 3; N.S., not significant. In HCC, the *KCNQ1* genotype TT was significantly increased in both ALD (P = 0.032) and NAFLD patients (P < 0.01) (a). The *KCNJ15* genotype GG was significantly increased in NAFLD related HCC (P = 0.045) (c). TT, TC, CC, GG, GC.

JGH Open: An open access journal of gastroenterology and hepatology 3 (2019) 17-24 © 2018 The Authors. JGH Open: An open access journal of gastroenterology and hepatology published by Journal of Gastroenterology and Hepatology Foundation and John Wiley & Sons Australia, Ltd.



Figure 3 Hypothesis regarding the effects of genetic background on progression and hepatocarcinogenesis in ALD and NAFLD. ALD, alcoholic liver disease; NAFLD, non-alcoholic fatty liver disease, HCC, hepatocellular carcinoma; ADH1B, alcohol dehydrogenase 1B; ALDH2, aldehyde dehydrogenase 2; MTP, microsomal triglyceride transfer protein; KCNQ1, potassium voltage-gated channel subfamily Q member 1; ADRB3, adrenoceptor beta 3; KCNJ15, potassium voltage-gated channel subfamily J member 15; PNPLA3, patatin-like phospholipase 3.

Glucose metabolism plays an important role in these effects, although the exact mechanism is unclear.

The *PNPLA3* G allele was associated with NAFLD-related HCC in the current study, which is consistent with previous reports. However, it is unclear whether PNPLA3 is directly involved in hepatocarcinogenesis. The genotype-based analysis and allele frequency showed discrepancies, including KCNQ 1 and KCNJ15 in ALD-HCC and PNPLA3 in NAFLD-HCC. Therefore, we need to determine which is correct by obtaining many more samples in the future. Nevertheless, the *PNPLA3* SNP was related to liver fibrosis, and fibrosis progression may lead to HCC.

In this study, new hepatocarcinogenesis risk genes were identified in ALD and NAFLD. We used these observations to generate a new hypothesis regarding the effects of genetic background on disease progression and hepatocarcinogenesis in ALD and NAFLD, as shown in Figure 3. Nevertheless, further studies are necessary to confirm this hypothesis using large prospective samples. This study has the following limitations. It was a single-center study with limitations in terms of gender balance and rates of cirrhosis or HCC. In addition, liver biopsy was only performed in 36% of ALD patients. Consequently, the ALD and NAFLD risk SNPs should be validated in larger cohorts in a prospective study.

Conclusions

The activity of enzymes related to alcohol metabolism (ADH and ALDH) might contribute to ALD, and those related to lipid metabolism (MTP) might be associated with NAFLD. Further validation is required in different ethnic populations as well as in comparison with nondiseased groups.

Acknowledgments

This study was supported by a Grant-in-Aid from the Ministry of Education, Culture, Sports, Science, and Technology of Japan

(#26461024-0001) to T.K. Professor K.T. received research funding from Sumitomo Dainippon Pharma, Astellas Pharma, Eisai, Taiho Pharmaceutical, Chugai Pharmaceutical, Daiichi Sankyo Pharmaceutical, AbbVie GK, Takeda Pharmaceutical, Asahi Kasei Corporation, Ajinomoto, and Otsuka Pharmaceutical.

References

- 1 Eguchi Y, Hyogo H, Ono M *et al.* Prevalence and associated metabolic factors of nonalcoholic fatty liver disease in the general population from 2009 to 2010 in Japan: a multicenter large retrospective study. *J. Gastroenterol.* 2012; **47**: 586–95.
- 2 Kelly T, Yang W, Chen CS, Reynolds K, He J. Global burden of obesity in 2005 and projections to 2030. Int. J. Obes. 2008; 32: 1431–7.
- 3 Yokoyama A, Muramatsu T, Ohmori T *et al.* Alcohol-related cancers and aldehyde dehydrogenase-2 in Japanese alcoholics. *Carcinogenesis.* 1998; **19**: 1383–7.
- 4 Yokoyama T, Yokoyama A, Kato H *et al.* Alcohol flushing, alcohol and aldehyde dehydrogenase genotypes, and risk for esophageal squamous cell carcinoma in Japanese men. *Cancer Epidemiol. Biomark. Prev.* 2003; **12**: 1227–33.
- 5 Higuchi S, Matsushita S, Murayama M, Takagi S, Hayashida M. Alcohol and aldehyde dehydrogenase polymorphisms and the risk for alcoholism. Am. J. Psychiatry. 1995; 152: 1219–21.
- 6 Li H, Borinskaya S, Yoshimura K *et al.* Refined geographic distribution of the oriental ALDH2*504Lys (nee 487Lys) variant. *Ann. Hum. Genet.* 2009; **73**: 335–45.
- 7 Marchesini G, Brizi M, Bianchi G *et al.* Nonalcoholic fatty liver disease: a feature of the metabolic syndrome. *Diabetes.* 2001; **50**: 1844–50.
- 8 Kim CH, Younossi ZM. Nonalcoholic fatty liver disease: a manifestation of the metabolic syndrome. *Cleve. Clin. J. Med.* 2008; 75: 721–8.
- 9 Chalasani N, Guo X, Loomba R *et al.* Genome-wide association study identifies variants associated with histologic features of nonalcoholic fatty liver disease. *Gastroenterology*. 2010; **139**: 1567–76.e1-6.
- 10 Severson TJ, Besur S, Bonkovsky HL. Genetic factors that affect nonalcoholic fatty liver disease: a systematic clinical review. World J. Gastroenterol. 2016; 22: 6742–56.
- 11 Romeo S, Kozlitina J, Xing C *et al*. Genetic variation in PNPLA3 confers susceptibility to nonalcoholic fatty liver disease. *Nat. Genet.* 2008; **40**: 1461–5.
- 12 Huang Y, Cohen JC, Hobbs HH. Expression and characterization of a PNPLA3 protein isoform (I148M) associated with nonalcoholic fatty liver disease. J. Biol. Chem. 2011; 286: 37085–93.
- 13 Sookoian S, Pirola CJ. Meta-analysis of the influence of I148M variant of patatin-like phospholipase domain containing 3 gene (PNPLA3) on the susceptibility and histological severity of nonalcoholic fatty liver disease. *Hepatology*. 2011; **53**: 1883–94.
- 14 Guyot E, Sutton A, Rufat P *et al.* PNPLA3 rs738409, hepatocellular carcinoma occurrence and risk model prediction in patients with cirrhosis. *J. Hepatol.* 2013; **58**: 312–8.
- 15 Trépo E, Guyot E, Ganne-Carrie N *et al.* PNPLA3 (rs738409 C>G) is a common risk variant associated with hepatocellular carcinoma in alcoholic cirrhosis. *Hepatology.* 2012; **55**: 1307–8.
- 16 Trépo E, Nahon P, Bontempi G *et al.* Association between the PNPLA3 (rs738409 C>G) variant and hepatocellular carcinoma: evidence from a meta-analysis of individual participant data. *Hepatology*. 2014; **59**: 2170–7.
- 17 Neuschwander-Tetri BA, Caldwell SH. Nonalcoholic steatohepatitis: summary of an AASLD single topic conference. *Hepatology*. 2003; 37: 1202–19.

JGH Open: An open access journal of gastroenterology and hepatology ${f 3}$ (2019) 17–24

© 2018 The Authors. JGH Open: An open access journal of gastroenterology and hepatology published by Journal of Gastroenterology and Hepatology Foundation and John Wiley & Sons Australia, Ltd.

- 18 Tsutsumi M. The history of the diagnostic criteria for alcoholic liver disease and current status of alcoholic liver disease in Japan. *Nihon Shokakibyo Gakkai Zasshi.* 2012; 109: 1509–17.
- 19 Brunt EM, Janney CG, Di Bisceglie AM, Neuschwander-Tetri BA, Bacon BR. Nonalcoholic steatohepatitis: a proposal for grading and staging the histological lesions. *Am. J. Gastroenterol.* 1999; 94: 2467–74.
- 20 Minagawa M, Ikai I, Matsuyama Y, Yamaoka Y, Makuuchi M. Staging of hepatocellular carcinoma: assessment of the Japanese TNM and AJCC/UICC TNM systems in a cohort of 13,772 patients in Japan. Ann. Surg. 2007; 245: 909–22.
- 21 Tokushige K, Hashimoto E, Horie Y, Taniai M, Higuchi S. Hepatocellular carcinoma in Japanese patients with nonalcoholic fatty liver disease, alcoholic liver disease and chronic liver disease of unknown etiology: report of the nationwide survey. J. Gastroenterol. 2011; 46: 1230–7.
- 22 Tuma DJ, Casey CA. Dangerous byproducts of alcohol breakdown: focus on adducts. *Alcohol Res. Health.* 2003; 27: 285–90.
- 23 Edenberg HJ, Foroud T. Genetics and alcoholism. Nat. Rev. Gastroenterol. Hepatol. 2013; 10: 487–94.
- 24 Levy E, Stan S, Delvin E *et al*. Localization of microsomal triglyceride transfer protein in the Golgi: possible role in the assembly of chylomicrons. *J. Biol. Chem.* 2002; 277: 16470–7.
- 25 Rubin D, Helwig U, Pfeuffer M et al. A common functional exon polymorphism in the microsomal triglyceride transfer protein gene is associated with type 2 diabetes, impaired glucose metabolism and insulin levels. J. Hum. Genet. 2006; 51: 567–74.
- 26 Li L, Wang SJ, Shi K, Chen D, Jia H, Zhu J. Correlation between MTP -493G>T polymorphism and non-alcoholic fatty liver disease risk: a meta-analysis. *Genet. Mol. Res.* 2014; 13: 10150–61.
- 27 Gambino R, Cassader M, Pagano G, Durazzo M, Musso G. Polymorphism in microsomal triglyceride transfer protein: a link between liver disease and atherogenic postprandial lipid profile in NASH? *Hepatol*ogy. 2007; 45: 1097–107.
- 28 Gotthardová I, Javorský M, Klimčáková L et al. KCNQ1 gene polymorphism is associated with glycaemic response to treatment with DPP-4 inhibitors. *Diabetes Res. Clin. Pract.* 2017; **130**: 142–7.
- 29 Edenberg HJ, Koller DL, Xuei X *et al.* Genome-wide association study of alcohol dependence implicates a region on chromosome 11. *Alcohol. Clin. Exp. Res.* 2010; **34**: 840–52.

- 30 Kendler KS, Kalsi G, Holmans PA *et al.* Genomewide association analysis of symptoms of alcohol dependence in the molecular genetics of schizophrenia (MGS2) control sample. *Alcohol. Clin. Exp. Res.* 2011; **35**: 963–75.
- 31 Rinker JA, Fulmer DB, Trantham-Davidson H et al. Differential potassium channel gene regulation in BXD mice reveals novel targets for pharmacogenetic therapies to reduce heavy alcohol drinking. Alcohol. 2017; 58: 33–45.
- 32 Chen XD, Yang YJ, Li SY *et al.* Several polymorphisms of KCNQ1 gene are associated with plasma lipid levels in general Chinese populations. *PLoS One.* 2012; **7**: e34229.
- 33 BasuRay S, Smagris E, Cohen JC, Hobbs HH. The PNPLA3 variant associated with fatty liver disease (I148M) accumulates on lipid droplets by evading ubiquitylation. *Hepatology*. 2017; 66: 1111–24.
- 34 Salameh H, Raff E, Erwin A et al. PNPLA3 gene polymorphism is associated with predisposition to and severity of alcoholic liver disease. Am. J. Gastroenterol. 2015; 110: 846–56.
- 35 Rotman Y, Koh C, Zmuda JM, Kleiner DE, Liang TJ, the NASH CRN. The association of genetic variability in patatin-like phospholipase domain-containing protein 3 (PNPLA3) with histological severity of nonalcoholic fatty liver disease. *Hepatology*. 2010; **52**: 894–903.
- 36 Ryuk JA, Zhang X, Ko BS, Daily JW, Park S. Association of β 3-adrenergic receptor rs4994 polymorphisms with the risk of type 2 diabetes: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Diabetes Res. Clin. Pract.* 2017; **129**: 86–96.
- 37 Zhou X, Zhu J, Bao Z et al. A variation in KCNQ1 gene is associated with repaglinide efficacy on insulin resistance in Chinese type 2 diabetes mellitus patients. *Sci. Rep.* 2016; 6: 37293.
- 38 Okamoto K, Iwasaki N, Nishimura C. Identification of KCNJ15 as a susceptibility gene in Asian patients with type 2 diabetes mellitus. *Am. J. Hum. Genet.* 2010; 86: 54–64.

Supporting information

Additional supporting information may be found in the online version of this article at the publisher's website:

Table S1. Primer information for massARRAY analysis. E, extended primer; F, forward primer; R, reverse primer.