

https://twinkle.repo.nii.ac.jp

Measuring effective orifice area of bileaflet mechanical valves in patients after aortic valve replacement using phase-contrast cine MR imaging

メタデータ	言語: eng
	出版者:
	公開日: 2021-10-20
	キーワード (Ja):
	キーワード (En):
	作成者: MACHIDA, Haruhiko , HIRATA, Masami ,
	KOJIMA, Shinya , SUZUKI, Kazufumi , UENO, Eiko ,
	SASAKI, Akihito , NAKANO, Kiyoharu
	メールアドレス:
	所属:
URL	http://hdl.handle.net/10470/00032994

This work is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 3.0 International License.





POSTER PRESENTATION



Measuring effective orifice area of bileaflet mechanical valves in patients after aortic valve replacement using phase-contrast cine MR imaging

Haruhiko Machida^{*}, Masami Hirata, Shinya Kojima, Kazufumi Suzuki, Eiko Ueno, Akihito Sasaki, Kiyoharu Nakano

From 2011 SCMR/Euro CMR Joint Scientific Sessions Nice, France. 3-6 February 2011

Introduction

Mismatch of patients and prostheses is a critical issue for patients undergoing aortic valve replacement (AVR), because it increases postoperative mortality. Mismatch can be determined by measuring the effective orifice area (EOA) of a prosthetic valve. Although EOA is usually measured by transthoracic ultrasonography, its accuracy remains unclear because the prosthetic valve and/or turbulent flow may produce artifacts and results depend on the examiner's skill.

Purpose

We investigated the clinical feasibility of phase-contrast (PC) cine MR imaging for measuring EOA of bileaflet mechanical valves widely used in patients after AVR, which we believe has not been reported, and compared our measurements to EOA reference values and the differences between 2 different vendors.

Methods

We retrospectively recruited consecutive 17 asymptomatic patients (8 men, 9 women; mean age, 66.2 ± 15.2 years) who underwent AVR using bileaflet mechanical valves of 2 different vendors, St. Jude Medical (SJM) (n = 7) and ATS (n = 10) valves, and demonstrated no significant abnormality on transthoracic ultrasonography. We first performed segmented k-space, PC cine MR imaging at the level of the aortic annulus (AA) and left ventricular outlet tract (LVOT), then measured velocity-time integral (VTI) during the systolic cardiac phase at both the AA and LVOT levels and functional cross-sectional area (A) at the LVOT level. We finally calculated EOA using the following continuity equation: EOA = A (LVOT) x VTI (LVOT)/VTI

Tokyo Women's Medical University Medical Center East, Tokyo, Japan

(AA). We used linear-regression analysis and Bland-Altman plot to compare the EOA measurement and EOA reference value for the type and size of prosthesis being implanted. We also used Student-t test to compare the difference between the EOA measurement and EOA reference value between the 2 different vendors.

Results

Using PC cine MR imaging, we successfully obtained EOA measurement for all patients with little artifact from the prosthetic valve. The measurement and reference value correlated well (MR measurement = 0.91x + 0.24 cm²: r = 0.67, p = 0.002). All differences were within 0.2 cm² except 3 measurements (difference, 0.3 to 0.7 cm²); the mean difference was 0.11 and the standard deviation, 0.25. There was no significant difference between those differences of SJM (0.23 ± 0.21 cm²) and ATS (0.02 ± 0.26 cm²) valves (p = 0.096).

Conclusions

PC cine MR imaging is clinically feasible for relatively easy and accurate EOA measurement of bileaflet mechanical valves in patients after AVR.

Published: 2 February 2011

doi:10.1186/1532-429X-13-S1-P325 **Cite this article as:** Machida *et al.*: Measuring effective orifice area of bileaflet mechanical valves in patients after aortic valve replacement using phase-contrast cine MR imaging. *Journal of Cardiovascular Magnetic Resonance* 2011 13(Suppl 1):P325.



© 2011 Machida et al; licensee BioMed Central Ltd. This is an open access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/2.0), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.